

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 38 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.33 % | 95.76 % | 22 | 97.21 % | 27 | 27 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 93.80 % | 90.49 % | 9 | 90.53 % | 60 | 62 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 5.83 | 4.36 | 1 | 4.97 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 82.79 % | 1 | 85.01 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 90.32 % | 65.52 % | 5 | 73.71 % | 82 | 81 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 84.99 % | 76.67 % | 23 | 81.48 % | 52 | 52 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 44.48 % | 39.56 % | 23 | 45.54 % | 45 | 0 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 99.24 % | 1 | 96.14 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 6 / 38 | Nationally: | 83 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$15,052 | \$17,624 | | \$28,248 | 353 Units | 15.90 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$3,987 | \$10,325 | | \$15,487 | 1,808 Units | 81.50 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$20,315 | \$31,910 | | \$0 | 57 Units | 2.60 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,622 | | \$3,211 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Amarillo TX

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$100,584 | \$52,229 | \$22,035 |
| State:* | \$59,634 | \$63,594 | \$34,559 |
| National:** | \$101,183 | \$78,322 | \$24,013 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 4.4 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.78

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | |
| White: | 48.7 | 50.1 | 45.6 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 38.2 | 8.2 | 24.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|---|
| Hispanic | 12.7 | 39.2 | 28.1 | 0.0 |
|----------|--|--|--|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1 Person: | 38.2 | 22.6 | 26.3 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 18.7 | 22.3 | 28.1 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 22.1 | 25.3 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 16.1 | 17.0 | 10.5 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 3.7 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.6 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.6 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 23.5 | 23.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 17.6 | 0.6 | 50.9 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 37.7 | 30.6 | 22.8 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 15.6 | 37.8 | 17.5 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 5.4 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| Section 8: | 25.5 | 0.6 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 3.1 | |
| No Assistance: | 71.4 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 17

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Amarillo

State: TX

Group Rank: 83
 (Percentile)

State Rank: 6 / 38 PJs

Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 79.77% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 57.73% | 90.32 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 84.99 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.23% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.200 | 1.01 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

